







LACSI Review Charge la

- Is this program, within the entire portfolio of ASC activities, achieving the right balance between long-term, high-leverage research and short-term product development for the weapons program and LANL?
- Response:
 - —LACSI has done an excellent job of balancing long-term and shortterm priorities within its research program. Given the largely shortterm development agenda of most of the ASC Program, the emphasis of LACSI has been focused primarily and appropriately on longer-term research.
 - -Longer term research should continue to be emphasized within LACSI
- —The Institute addresses the need to balance long-term and short-term priorities within the program by establishing for each project a vision of a desirable long-term research outcome and then delivering intermediate results of that research to the laboratory
 - Example: HPCToolkit

LACSI

LACSI Review Charge II

- Is the research funded by LACSI of the highest quality?
 - —Is the project engaging the best minds in the nation on problems of relevance to LANL's overall goals in computer and computational science?
- Response:

—In Computer Science, it is very clear to the Review Committee that the research is of the highest quality. LACSI has chosen a set of topics (e.g. systems, fault tolerance, etc.) that are absolutely key to further progress in high performance computing and the group of faculty and Los Alamos researchers addressing these problems is top-notch

- -Examples:
 - Telescoping languages
- Fault tolerance
- Computational science
- Number of best papers

LACSI

ACS

LACSI Review Charge III

- Is LACSI meeting its original goals as laid out in the original statement of work?
 - -- Do these goals remain appropriate metrics of success for LACSI? Succinctly stated, those four original goals were:
 - To build a presence in computer science research at LANL that is commensurate with the strength of the physics community at LANL.
 - To achieve a level of prestige in the computer science community that is on a par with the best computer science departments in the nation.
 - To pursue computer science research that is relevant to the goals of High Performance Computing (HPC) programs at LANL.
 - To ensure that there remains a strong focus on high-performance computing in the academic computer science community.
- Response

-Yes to the last two goals

- Still insufficient interest nationally, but LACSI is holding on to some of the best talent
- -The first two may have been overly ambitious (de-emphasize?)



LACSI Review Charge IV

- Have the LACSI management structures and planning process been effective in ensuring the quality and relevance of LACSI activities and in supporting the original LACSI goals?
- Response:
 - —The LACSI co-Directors have put in place and used highly effective management structures and planning processes. After all, it is no accident that we have judged LACSI research to be of very high quality and relevance to its goals. Among the processes that deserve praise are
 - Annual planning meeting
 - Workshops
 - LACSI Symposium

-Planning is excellent and well connected to ASC and LANL needs and there is good flexibility built into the planning.

Need to continue to set aside money for new starts

Relationship to WSR

- Specific recommendations on how to fold LACSI into the WSR process are that
 - -LACSI be reviewed on a yearly basis by a Review Committee;
 - -The LACSI Executive Committee, with guidance from the LACSI Oversight Board that is being formed, develop a proposal each year; and
 - -That proposal be submitted to the WSR process as one entity.
- Actions
 - -This review is the first under the new system
 - —The proposal was developed and submitted under the new WSR system
- Mixed Results
- —Proposal was successful
- -Budget was severely constrained (to \$2,060,000)
- —All of the LANL participants dropped out

LACS

LACSI 2006 Review Charge • Is the research relevant to the short-term and foreseeable long-term needs of the LANL weapons program and the Laboratory as a whole? —balance between long-term, high-leverage research and short-term product development for the weapons program and LANL?

• Is the research funded by LACSI of the highest quality?

—Is the project engaging the best minds in the nation on problems of relevance to LANL's overall goals in computer and computational science?

- Is LACSI meeting its goals?
 - -Do these goals remain appropriate metrics of success for LACSI?
 - To pursue computer science research that is relevant to the goals of High Performance Computing (HPC) programs at LANL.
 - To ensure that there remains a strong focus on high-performance computing in the academic computer science community.
- Have the LACSI management structures and planning process been effective in ensuring the quality and relevance of LACSI activities and in supporting the original LACSI goals?







LACSI	2006 Obj	jectives
-------	----------	----------

- To pursue computer science research that is focused upon the long-term goals of the HPC programs at Los Alamos
- To ensure that there remains a strong focus on highperformance computing in the academic computer science community.

Observation: LACSI Academic Partners complement the strengths available in CCS and CCN

Examples: performance analysis tools, source-to-source transformation systems

New Focus: Direct collaboration with weapons program

LACS















Example: LACSI HPCToolkit

- Goal: Effective Tools for Performance Analysis

 Intuitive, top-down user interface
 Provide information crucial for analysis and tuning.
- Platform and language (compiler) independence
- -Emphasis on LANL ASC Platforms

٠

- -Extract hierarchical program structure from binaries.
 - Handle multi-module, multi-language (F77, F9x, C, C++, ...)
 - No requirement for recompilation
- Eliminate manual labor from the analyze-tune-run cycle!

LACSI

Impact on LANL Project Teams

- HPCToolkit Deployed on Origin
 SAGE improvement by 2x on one example
- Performance Workshops
 - —Feedback: Needed on Q and other secure machines, smaller DB on large codes, binary analysis too slow
 - Improvements: Sophisticated support for Alpha/Tru64 platform, new Java browser using compact database, speedup performance analysis tool on large codes improved by a factor of 30
- General Deployment
 - -Working with CCN-8
 - -Feedback: not on Clustermatic
 - -Response: obtained Clustermatic system at Rice: implementation in progress
- Kennedy visit in December
 - --Worked with Hank Alme and Mike McKay (with help from Chip Kent) to process two major X-Division codes
- -Discovered installed binaries were not up to date (now fixed)
- -Identified needed additional functionality
 - Cumulative call graph profiling (nearly ready)



LACSI

Rapid Response to Need

 DRC (Kennedy) learned that some codes exhibit memory problems

-Causes of this are difficult to understand

- Mellor-Crummey designed an enhancement to HPC Toolkit to track all memory events in a run
 - -Ideas presented during October-November 2005 visit
- Tool now nearly ready for trial —Screen shot in Mellor-Crummey talk
- Moral: Flexible research infrastructure permits rapid prototyping of new tools in response to need

Caution: Not enough support for making prototypes into robust tools



Component Integration and Optimization

- Advanced Component Integration Systems
 - -Driven by need for modular approach to software development
 - -Important consideration: high performance
 - High overheads for crossing component boundaries
- Technologies Developed with LACSI Support
 - -Telescoping Languages
 - A strategy for precompiling component collections
 - In-advance optimization to expected run-time contexts
 - -New strategies for optimization of object-oriented languages
- Changes of Direction
 - -In response to discussion at first P&S meeting
 - -Focus on component integration systems (later Marmot)
 - --Additional focus on Ajax programming system after December visit by Kennedy



LACSI Symposium

- 6th Symposium: LACSI 2005, October 11-13, 2005
- Approximately 240 registered in each of the last two years (record attendance)
- Workshops and tutorials
 - -High Availability & Performance Computing
 - -Advanced Numerical Methods for PDEs
 - -Performance and Productivity of Extreme-Scale Parallel Systems
 - -Models & Simulations for Large-Scale Socio-Technical Systems
 - -High Performance Computing in Beam Physics & Astrophysics
 - -Automatic Tuning of Whole Applications
 - -Algorithm Acceleration with Reconfigurable Hardware
 - —Parallel Programming with Charm++ and AMPI
 - -LinuxBIOS Summit
 - -Application Development Using Eclipse & the Parallel Tools Platform

ACSI

ACS

What Have We Done Lately?

Moved into WSR —Positives and negatives

- New Focus on Direct Collaboration with Weapons Program —Recognition that LACSI academic researchers often complement the capabilities of CCS and CCN
 - Example: Compiler and performance tool technology Different from performance prediction
- -Many visits this year the fall
 - New features for HPC Toolkit
 - New performance challenges
- -Move to get export-restricted codes for closer study
- RAGE, PARTISN, FLAG
- -Redirection of effort
- Focus on Ajax programming system
- Builds on our strengths in telescoping languages and objectoriented programming support



Concerns

Budget Issues

- -Continuing trend of budget reductions
- -No funded LANL participants
- -Unclear how WSR will treat the program this year
- More, smaller proposals
- Will we lose integrated planning advantages?
- Collaboration Issues
 - -Research should be driven by real LANL applications
 - Easier when we have more Q-cleared researchers
 - We also need to streamline methods for getting access to exportrestricted codes
 - -Getting attention of application developers is sometimes tricky
- Contracting difficulties

-Working on a no-cost extension from FY05 (soon to be funded?)





